Interview Summary	09/725,249	MANSIKKANIEMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Yasin M. Barqadle</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Stephen L. Sheldon</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 December 2007.		·	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed:		•	
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Examiner called Application on April 9, 2007. Examiner is told that response has not be allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER	ant's attorney regarding the steen filed (OK to abandon). dments which the examiner acopy of the amendments that ed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has alread to ONE MONTH OR THIR	atus of the office and agreed would render the SUBSTANCE of the Ty DAYS FROM	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTICIPATION REPORTS OF THE INTICIPATION OF THE INTICIPATION OF THE INTICIPATION OF THE INTERPRETATION OF THE			
,			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's si	gnature, if required	<u> </u>

Application No.

Applicant(s)